

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

APPEAL (SCCP, FURLOUGH PROGRAM, COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM) FORM

Mark Cardilli

Resident Name

166978

MDOC #

TO: Deputy Commissioner, or designee, ONLY if an appeal about SCCP or an appeal of a denial of approval for an initial furlough leave or withdrawal of approval for an initial furlough leave

OR

TO: Central Office Director of Classification, or designee, for all OTHER appeals

On 1/24/25, the following decision took place:
Date

SCCP

Community Transition Program

Furlough Program

[X] Denial of approval for SCCP

[] Withdrawal of approval for SCCP

[] Removal from SCCP

[] Denial of approval for a community transition program release

[] Withdrawal of approval for a community transition program release

[] Termination of a community transition program release

[] Restriction or suspension of community transition program privileges

[] Denial of an initial furlough leave

[] Withdrawal of approval for an initial furlough leave

[] Denial of approval for any other furlough

[] Withdrawal of approval for any other furlough

[] Termination of a furlough

[] Restriction or suspension of furlough privileges

Appeal must be postmarked within fifteen (15) days of when: the written explanation of the denial of approval was received by the resident; the resident was notified of the withdrawal of the approval; the removal from or termination of the program occurred; or the restriction or suspension decision was received by the resident, whichever is applicable.

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

APPEAL (SCCP, FURLOUGH PROGRAM, COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM) FORM

Mark Cardilli

Resident Name

166978

MDOC #

I wish to appeal for the following reasons: The reason for my denial does not align with policy.

I understand that community input is a valuable aspect of the review process and "extreme negative community sentiment" was stated. I understand the seriousness of my crime and its impact on families and the community. I take full responsibility for my actions and have learned from my mistakes. While I know there will always be negative opinions of me, policy states that objections from the community cannot be the sole reason for denial. How can this be used against me if it is not a deciding factor? Policy emphasizes the likelihood of my success as the primary criteria. If safety concerns were raised I was entitled to an opportunity to address them through plan modifications rather than being denied outright. This was not provided, leaving me unable to address or resolve the concerns. It reflects general opposition rather than specific safety concerns that I should be given the opportunity to address. My prior success in the community demonstrated that I am not a danger. I was just living in the community while on bail pending my... continued

MHC

Resident's Signature

1/25/25

Date

Resident filed untimely appeal

Decision is [X] Affirmed [] Reversed [] Modified [] Remanded for further review

If modified, describe modification:

If remanded for further review, at what point:

Explanation for decision: Please see attached

Anthony Carillo

Printed Name

1/31/25

Date

Signature

The reason for my denial does not align with the SCCP policy.

I understand that community input is a valuable aspect of the SCCP review process and “extreme negative community sentiment” was stated. I understand the seriousness of my crime and its impact on families and the community. I take full responsibility for my actions and have learned from my mistakes. While I know there will always be negative opinions of me, policy states that objections from the community cannot be the sole reason for denial. I respectfully question why community sentiment is being so heavily relied upon when the policy emphasizes the likelihood of my success on SCCP as the primary criterion. If specific safety concerns were raised, I was entitled to an opportunity to address them through plan modifications or additional conditions rather than being denied outright. This procedural step was not provided, leaving me unable to address or resolve the concerns that led to the denial. That appears to reflect general opposition rather than specific safety concerns that I should be given the opportunity to address. My prior success in the community demonstrated that I am not a danger when I was released on bail pending my appeal. I lived in the community for eight months without incident, working, volunteering, and reintegrating as expected. This was an isolated incident, and my conduct while incarcerated and on bail reflects this.

The denial cited “concerns with overall plan,” despite no specific issues being raised earlier in the process. If there were concerns with my plan, I was told I would receive recommendations on how to modify it to meet the criteria for approval, yet I received no such guidance or explanation. I respectfully question why I was denied on this basis when I was not given the opportunity to address or resolve these unspecified concerns. Contrary to the denial, I was informed that my plan was good as it was. No such concerns or objections were communicated to me during any part of the review process. My SCCP plan outlines a structured path for

reintegration, including continuing my education, maintaining employment, attending therapy, and volunteering. I also have a strong family support system to ensure accountability and stability in my life. If specific concerns exist, I ask for the opportunity to address them. This lack of communication deprived me of the chance to address any potential issues with my plan, as is allowed and encouraged under policy.

I have made every effort to comply with SCCP eligibility requirements and demonstrated my readiness for reentry into the community. Despite fulfilling these criteria, the decision to deny my plan appears to have been based on reasons that are not supported by policy.

Given the lack of transparency throughout the process, the absence of actionable feedback on my plan, and my full compliance with SCCP criteria, I respectfully request that my application for SCCP be reconsidered. I am prepared to address concerns and make any necessary modifications to ensure the success of my participation in the program.